

FOR RACE AND NATION

BNP

Smash the IRA NOW!



WHAT EFFECTIVE MEASURES is the Government taking to prosecute the war against the IRA after the appalling bomb outrage at Harrods? None at all!

All we have had following the Harrods incident are pious speeches from Government ministers, together with a few purely cosmetic moves aimed to give the impression that security in Central London is being tightened. But there is no sign whatever that the Government is really determined to deal firmly with IRA terrorism.

Ever since the present phase of the Northern Ireland troubles began in 1969 the policies of successive British Governments towards the terrorists have been characterised by weakness, timidity and cowardice. We say that the time has come for these soft policies to be abandoned and a policy of total ruthlessness adopted in their place.

The IRA indeed has constantly agitated for its members in captivity to be treated as 'prisoners of war'. This is an admission of the fact that it regards the rules of war as prevailing in its campaign against the British people. Very well, then let us conduct our own counter-campaign against the IRA in accordance with the rules of war!

When you sight your enemy in a war, you do not wait to capture him and then bring him to trial in a civil court; you fire upon him in order to kill him.

Yet our security forces in Northern Ireland are obliged to sit on the sidelines watching IRA men in uniform attend Republican funerals and other demonstrations without taking any action against them. They are forbidden to move in and arrest the IRA thugs for fear of provoking a battle. But this is not even necessary; all that is needed is for some efficient army marksmen to be called up and the IRA men simply 'picked off'.

Everyone knows that the IRA is aided and succoured by its 'legal' wing, the Provisional Sinn Fein, yet Sinn Fein leaders like the loathsome Gerry Adams are allowed not only to walk about Britain as free men but, in the case of Adams himself, even to sit in Britain's parliament!

What other nation in the world in its right senses would permit this to happen?

It is claimed that Sinn Fein represents a legitimate political viewpoint and therefore must be accorded its democratic 'rights' just like any other political party.

We disagree. A political party whose aim is to transfer a part of the United Kingdom to the sovereignty of a foreign state has no rights in this country, and there is a precedent for this set by British Government itself. During World War II the British Union of Fascists was suspected, though with no evidence whatever, as being likely to assist a German occupation of Britain. On these grounds the BUF was banned and its leaders imprisoned without trial for most of the duration of the war.

Yet today in a similar war against Britain, equal in its viciousness if not in its scale, organisations sympathetic and helpful to the enemy are given perfect freedom and their representatives actually paid by the British taxpayer to serve as MPs!

Some will claim that if Sinn Fein is banned it will merely reform and emerge again under another name. There is a simple answer to that: We should ban not only Sinn Fein but all forms of organised Irish Republicanism within the United Kingdom dedicated to the foreign occupation of a part of the United Kingdom. This should have been done many years ago.

It is by means of 'legal' Republican political activity in the UK that the IRA is able to conduct

After the Harrods atrocity, it is time to wage total war, not only on the Irish Republican terrorists, but on all of their allies and supporters

propaganda and recruitment. Take away this 'legal right' and we will deal a shattering blow against the terrorists.

As for people like Adams —

who had a long record of direct involvement in the IRA before he transferred his energies to the political front — no 'legal' niceties should be observed with

them; they should just be efficiently liquidated. Such an operation should not be beyond the capacity of a unit like the SAS.

Contd. on page 2



The bereaved. The children of Inspector Stephen Dodd killed by the Harrods bomb, being comforted at their father's funeral.

IRA link with violent Blacks

THE I.R.A. THUGS who have been bombing our cities have now established a chain of co-operation with militant Blacks of the kind who instigated the fearsome riots of Brixton and Toxteth in 1981.

This fact was exposed recently in a *News of the World* report on what is going on in Britain's prisons where some of the hard-line Irish terrorists have been confined. According to the report, the IRA men have been instructing the Blacks in the techniques of bomb-making and other terrorist arts and crafts. Not only this, but Black militant groups are now being secretly supplied by the IRA men outside prison with guns, ammunition and explosives.

The IRA prisoners are instructed to keep an eye open in the jails for Blacks of a particularly rebellious type. They are then directed to try and influence them politically and recruit them for anti-British activities to be engaged in when they get released.

Said one young Black to the newspaper after leaving Parkhurst Prison: "I knew nothing about explosives before I went inside, but now I can blow up any building." The Black refused to give the name of the IRA

man who had indoctrinated him politically and taught him explosives techniques because he dare not. "I'd be a target," he said.

Another prisoner, still in Parkhurst, said: "Nobody really knows what's going on in here. The IRA pick on militants, many of them black, and tell them how to use explosives."

"They tell us how to place bombs and how to create diversions and disappear into crowds to avoid detection. These people are terrorists 24 hours a day. They talk of nothing else."

One ex-prisoner, posing on behalf of the paper as a would-be terrorist, contacted a telephone number given him in jail by an IRA inmate. He was told to proceed to a meeting place, where he was handed 10 rounds of .303 rifle ammunition and offered a Sten Gun for £250.

British Nationalist has long maintained that the presence of a large black community in Britain provides the potential for a big increase in violence and terror. The 1981 riots proved it, and this latest news about collusion with the IRA underlines it. Britain, wake up!

XMAS CARNAGE

IN OUR NOVEMBER issue we expressed our disgust that 38 IRA thugs had been allowed to escape from the Maze Prison and we demanded the removal of Mr. Jim Prior from the post of Northern Ireland Secretary.

We make no apology for repeating that demand. Since the escape there has been a series of brutal IRA shootings in Ulster, including the horrific murder of Protestants at prayer in a church. Now the IRA has launched yet another terror bombing campaign in London, the sheer frightfulness of which manifested itself with the Christmas carnage outside Harrods.

Following these atrocities, our political masters have spewed forth the usual verbiage enjoining us not to over-react. Apparently we must allow time for our anger to subside before taking any action against the terrorists. Former Northern Ireland Secretary Merlyn Rees tells us that we must not take any "panic measures"; while the unctuous Mr. Prior pompously proclaims that "however desperate people may feel, they must not take the law into their own hands."

NOT PREPARED

But if Mr. Prior and his colleagues are not prepared to enforce the law; if they are not prepared to proscribe organisations like Sinn Fein; if they do nothing to prevent people like Bobby Sands and Gerry Adams from contesting parliamentary elections; if they permit trouble-makers, like those belonging to Noraid, to enter our country at will; if they are unable to prevent IRA murderers escaping from prison; and if they continue tolerating collections being made on behalf of the IRA in public houses and elsewhere — then what on earth do they expect law-abiding citizens to do but to take the law into their own hands?

Mr. Prior is reported to have advised the Cabinet that it would be wrong AT PRESENT to outlaw Sinn Fein, although that organisation quite openly supports the IRA. So how many more atrocities have to be committed by the IRA in order to persuade Mr. Prior that Sinn Fein should be banned? How many more British troops and civilians must be murdered before any retaliatory action is taken? Must we wait until a Cabinet Minister is assassinated? Must the endless repetition of brutalities go on?

COWARDICE

The British people, especially those living in Ulster, have had to put up with the cowardice of British governments in the face of IRA terrorism for far too long. Let the politicians understand that if they don't soon take the required action to smash the IRA once and for all, the people of Britain will have no option but to take the law into their own hands and do the job for them.

OUR ATTENTION has been brought to an attack launched against *British Nationalist* for publishing an article in our October issue criticising the Mayor of Peterborough, Councillor Ken Winfield, for what we regarded as his undignified participation in a "multiracial carnival" held in the City.

The attack, which appears in the columns of the *Peterborough Standard*, accuses us of being a "right-wing newspaper", of having an editorial policy "to the right of Attila (sic) the Hun"; of lampooning Mr. Winfield because he was "dancing with coloured women", rather than on account of his undignified behaviour; and of being a "race-hate publication". We will proceed to demolish all these charges.

Emphatically we are not a right-wing paper. We do not subscribe to the futile political partisanship which over the last half century has done so much to debilitate our country. Our editorial policy is that of the British National Party, which can best be summed up in the words "Britain for the British". The suggestion that our policy is to the right of Attila is as meaningless as it is foolish.

The Editor's charge that we criticised Mr. Winfield because he danced with "coloured women" — actually we rather more politely referred to them as "Jamaican ladies" — is untrue. We criticised him for making a fool of himself and bringing the office of mayor into disrepute. And in any case, Mr. Winfield was not "dancing"; he was cavorting and prancing which, in our opinion, is unseemly behaviour for Peterborough's First Citizen.

The *Standard* also accuses us of

"race hate". This we refute; indeed, had the Editor been more observant he would have noticed that immediately beneath the article about Mr. Winfield's capers there appeared a statement, which is included in every issue of our paper, informing our readers that while we acknowledge racial differences, we are opposed to race hatred. We do not condemn coloured people for being here. Our argument is with those politicians and others who, for personal or political gain, wish to transform our country into an unrecognisable multiracial society.

THE TRUTH

We note that the *Standard* reports that Mr. Winfield will not take any action against us. Perhaps by making such a veiled threat he imagines that we will be deterred from criticising him or his sort in the future. If so, he is mistaken. There is no action he can take against us since all we have done is publish the truth. If he behaves like a clown, then he must expect to be referred to as such. We shall not be silenced.

For good measure we repeat the

A CASE OF BAD JOURNALISM

Paper resorts to lies in defending race-mixing Mayor

gist of what we wrote in our October issue: Mr. Winfield, like many others holding public and political office, has undermined the dignity of his office by seeking to ingratiate himself and his party with the coloured people now residing (hopefully, only tempo-

rarily) in Peterborough. To prove our point we publish another photograph of Mr. Winfield — this time showing him ingratiating himself with Hindus during the Festival of "Navratri".

The Hindu Festival was also

Contd. on back page



Peterborough's Mayor kowtowing at the altar of multi-racialism

WHY NOT NATIONALISM?

An open letter to H.M. The Queen

IN HER Christmas message the Queen said that "... the greatest problem in the world remains the gap between rich and poor countries and we shall not begin to close this gap until we hear less about nationalism and more about inter-dependence."

Our Editor is of the opinion that the Queen was badly advised to make this remark because it will do nothing to improve the lot of the British people, and because it could undermine the affection in which the Monarchy is held. He has therefore sent the following letter to Her Majesty:

Madam,—As a British Nationalist and loyal subject of yours, it was with considerable dismay that I, and I suspect many others, listened to your Christmas message this year. I believe that you were very badly advised to extol Internationalism and to denigrate Nationalism; and it is also my belief that your charge that Nationalism is responsible for the gap between rich and poor countries cannot be substantiated.

Great Britain became great because our forebears were Nationalists, not because they were Internationalists. Your greatest predecessors — men like King Alfred the Great and King Edward I, women like Queen Elizabeth I and Queen Victoria — were great monarchs because they put the interests of this Kingdom before all other considerations. They and their advisers sought to make Britain strong and independent, whereas the Internationalists in our midst today seek to make Britain weak and dependent — a state of affairs that you euphemistically referred to as "inter-dependence" in your Christmas message.

By denigrating Nationalism, you not only undermine the

importance of national sovereignty and patriotism, but you also render the status of the Crown nugatory; for if Nationalism is of so little account, then wherein lies the benefit of a National Monarchy? If we are to kowtow before the altar of Internationalism, should we not also, to be consistent, surrender our national sovereignty to some supranational authority and transfer our allegiance from the Crown to Big Brother? Unfortunately we have already travelled some way along that road as a result of our accession to the European Economic Community. It is an experience that few of us would care to repeat.

There was a time when the Sovereign's Christmas message was a source of comfort, hope and inspiration to many British people. Latterly, however, it has degenerated into little more than a catalogue of meaningless platitudes, similar in content to party political broadcasts and in danger of being regarded with the same contempt.

Madam, it gives me no pleasure to write this letter to you. I do so solely because it saddens me to see you being used as a mouth-piece by unscrupulous politicians and advisers who, for personal, party and alien interests, wish to subordinate our Queen and her people to the tyranny of Internationalism, and to relegate our country to the position of a mere province in a New World Order.

I am, Madam,
Your Loyal and Obedient Subject,
RONALD G. W. RICKCORD,
Editor,
British Nationalist.

SMASH THE I.R.A. — NOW!

(Cont. from front page)

Exactly that kind of practice is employed against the leaders of an enemy in wartime, and that is exactly what the IRA bosses are today, no more, no less.

Of course there will be many who will quiver at the suggestion that these methods are used against the IRA and their allies. They will say that they are 'unjust', 'undemocratic' and 'barbaric'.

But the IRA and its allies themselves have only contempt for 'justice' and 'democracy' and do not hesitate to resort to any form of barbarism, even when it involves risking death or mutilation to innocent people, including children.

It is time that the methods used by the IRA were turned against the IRA. They have unleashed a brutal war against the British people. There is only one thing that now matters in this war and that is that the enemy be destroyed and victory won as soon as possible and by all means necessary.

SOUTHWARK COUNCIL is to expand its race equality unit as part of its policy to "fight racism". Four new posts are to be created at an annual cost of £53,000.

THE Department of Health is under pressure to restrict the number of overseas doctors, many of them poorly qualified, allowed into Britain. At the present time there are more than 3,000 unemployed doctors in the United Kingdom.

FOLLOWING the deaths of nine drug addicts, Dr. Dasrath Rai, a 61-year-old GP from India, has been struck off the medical register by the General Medical Council. Dr. Rai, who practised in Soho, supplied 120 addicted patients with Diaconal, a heroin substitute. The doctor recently moved his practice from Soho to Harley Street.

KEWAL KRISHNAN ABROL, 55, a dentist practising in Birmingham, has been struck off the dental register for disgraceful professional misconduct following the death of

a woman patient after a tooth extraction.

LATE NIGHT bus passengers who witnessed an unprovoked attack made on two white youths by a

gang of nine black youths in Kingsbury Square, Aylesbury, have complained that police who were present at the scene took no action to prevent the attack or to pursue and arrest the attackers.

MPs' ALLOWANCES for meals and overnight costs when away from their homes will be increased to £6,163 a year from April 1st. The allowances will qualify for income tax relief.

DESPITE facing massive penalties for overspending, Islington coun-

cil's race relations committee has voted to give £3,100 to the Black London Magazine Co-op, £16,000 to the Bengali Women's Group, £41,000 to the Islington African Project, and £41,000 to the Ujima Finsbury Park Project.

IN REPLY to a complaint from an MP about the maltreatment of white air force officers who were tortured in Zimbabwe, Mrs. Thatcher said in the Commons: "I hope you will accept that the judicial process in Zimbabwe is impeccable."

TWO prison officers were injured and a prisoner was stabbed when fighting broke out between black and white inmates at Wormwood Scrubs.

MR. KENNETH LIVINGSTONE has announced that the GLC will not stop "peace" marchers using facilities at County Hall following the destruction by the marchers of a Royal British Legion banner that has hung in the building for many years.

BEEZEBUB'S BATTALIONS

RONALD RICKCORD
investigates the
World Council of
Churches

DURING the summer of 1983 the World Council of Churches held its sixth Assembly on the campus of the University of British Columbia in Vancouver. The Assembly was heralded by a fanfare of publicity by the international media as delegates from all over the world converged on the area. The presence of so many reverend gentlemen and their retinues would cause acute accommodation problems for many cities, but fortunately Vancouver has many well-appointed hotels, and so the ecclesiastics were able to enjoy the standards of comfort to which most of them are accustomed. Indeed, a fortunate few stayed in luxury hotels that are only a stone's throw from one of Canada's most popular nudist beaches.

Many Christians will regard the Assembly's venue in one of the Western Hemisphere's most expensive fleshpots as rather an inappropriate setting for those who are supposed to concern themselves with matters pertaining to the world-to-come; but it is evident that ecclesiastics are not immune from the pleasures and distractions of this world, for when one of their number

proposed that the delegates should show their solidarity with the starving denizens of the Third World by fasting on certain days during the course of the Assembly, the proposal was promptly and overwhelmingly voted down by the majority.

Whatever may have been the original intentions of those attending the Assembly, it soon became apparent that they were not primarily concerned with matters religious or theological: the debates were almost entirely devoted to political topics — so much so, that the Assembly resembled a trade union conference rather than an ecclesiastical convocation.

NOT CHRISTIAN

If the Assembly achieved nothing else, it did at least demonstrate that the WCC and kindred organisations like the British Council of Churches have very little to do with Christianity. Despite all the unctuous talk about oppression, justice, poverty, human rights, racism, sexism, and so on *ad nauseam*, even the most blinkered Christian who followed the debates could scarcely have failed to realise that the WCC's principal aim is to foment a global revolution, the first casualty of which will be Christendom itself. The delegates at Vancouver were doing the Devil's work, which is hardly surprising since of all Beelzebub's battalions the World Council of Churches must take pride of place.


The WCC was founded in 1948. Its immediate progenitors were several supposedly Christian, but actually internationalist, organisations which sprang up shortly after the First World War — organisations like the International Missionary Council (1921), the Life and Work Movement (1925) and the Faith and Order Movement (1927). Today the WCC consists of some 300

mainly Protestant and Orthodox Churches from all over the world, but particularly from the Third World. It has a large bureaucracy that is based in Geneva and has a hierarchical command structure at the top of which presides its highly-paid general-secretary, the Rev. Dr. Philip Potter, a Negro from Dominica. With such a leader, and in view of the composition of its membership, it is not surprising that the WCC sees its rôle not so much as one of giving spiritual comfort to the world's Christians, as of giving material and financial aid to terrorist groups in Africa and elsewhere.

The WCC has a regular and assured income derived from its member Churches which raise funds for this purpose by means of the collection plate. Thus many devout churchgoers contribute to terrorism in remote parts of the world, most of them quite unaware of how their charitable inclinations are being abused. The sums involved are considerable. The WCC's income amounts to over £60 million a year, of which more than 90 per cent is raised in the West. In addition, the WCC runs a "Special Fund for the Programme to Combat Racism" to which the Church of England donated £56,000 in 1982 — though it was claimed that this sum was donated solely for "administrative purposes".

FAT SALARIES

It is much easier to determine where WCC funds are spent than where they are raised. Very high salaries are paid to its functionaries. As long ago as 1977 its general-secretary was receiving £12,000 a year, so allowing for inflation etc., it is reasonable to assume that he is now getting something in the region of £25,000. Furthermore, the Council did not stint itself when it chose to locate its headquarters in



Part of the WCC's palatial HQ at Geneva

palatial buildings in a particularly salubrious area just outside Geneva. (If the WCC really is so concerned about the world's poverty-stricken and hungry masses as it would have us believe, why doesn't it demon-



THE REV. PHILIP POTTER
Gen. Sec. of the WCC

strate its solidarity with those so afflicted by moving out of its sumptuous headquarters and going to somewhere like Calcutta, where it could lend a hand to the admirable Mother Theresa?)

But by far the greatest part of the WCC's expenditure goes in the form of financial aid to Third World countries, especially to those ruled by Marxist tyrannies like Nicaragua, Mozambique and Zimbabwe; while over the years it has made substantial contributions to revolutionary terrorist groups like the Patriotic Front (Rhodesia), SWAPO (Namibia), Frelimo (Mozambique), the Cuban-backed "liberation" movement in Angola, the Cuban Resource Centre that supported the recently ousted Bishop regime in Grenada, and countless other groups seeking to overthrow existing non-Marxist governments by force.

BACKING SUBVERSION

Not only does the WCC provide funds to bloodthirsty terrorist groups in backward countries, but it also distributes substantial sums of money to some of the most obnoxious and subversive movements operating in the advanced countries, where it cannot by any stretch of the imagination be claimed that the WCC's largesse is required to feed and succour the poor and hungry. For instance, in Britain the WCC, in conjunction with the BCC, has to date given some £70,000 to the Race Today Collective, whose leader is Mr. Darcus Howe, a black Marxist revolutionary from Trinidad. It has also given £20,000 to *Race Today*, an inflammatory newsheet edited by Mr. Howe; £6,000 to *Searchlight*, with which all Nationalists will be familiar; and undisclosed sums have been given to the Brixton and Toxteth rioters and their supporters. Other known British beneficiaries of the WCC are the Institute of Race Relations, the Liverpool Eight Law Centre, the Migrants' Action Group and the Southall Youth Movement. Despite its claim to be opposed to racism, it is perhaps significant that the WCC has provided no funds to combat racism in the USSR.

Although the WCC is almost entirely funded by Western coun-

tries, its predominantly Third World membership determines its policies in much the same way that the Third World dominates the deliberations of the United Nations. In these circumstances it is not surprising that the WCC openly supports Soviet-inspired policies throughout the world to the extent that it refuses to condemn the Soviet Union for its persecution of Christians behind the Iron Curtain or for its brutal subjugation of Afghanistan.

DEAFENING SILENCE

The celebrated Russian novelist Alexander Solzhenitsyn not long ago compared the WCC's scarcely concealed support for pro-Soviet terrorist groups in the Third World with its deafening silence on the subject of religious persecution in the USSR. The WCC's double standards could hardly have been better demonstrated than by its refusal at Vancouver to give a hearing to Russian Christians who wished to ask the Assembly's prayers and help for their persecuted brethren in the USSR, whilst overwhelmingly voting against a resolution calling for the withdrawal of Soviet troops from Afghanistan.

Apologists for the WCC defend its reluctance to discuss motions critical of the Soviet Union by suggesting that to do otherwise might induce the Kremlin to impose even harsher penalties on the Russian Churches and possibly force them to cancel their membership of the WCC. Reasoning of this sort is fallacious because the plight

Contd. on back page



The plaque outside the
WCC's Geneva HQ

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

MODERN TORYISM

One evening this month I was out selling *British Nationalist* when one of my "regulars" invited me into his house to watch a video recording he had made of the immigration debate at the Tory Conference last October. Having missed the debate on TV I gladly accepted his invitation. What a thoroughly sickening performance it was! And what a revelation it must have been to anyone stupid enough to imagine that the Tory party is the party of patriotism! The obvious manipulation of the debate in order to obtain the desired pro-immigration result was utterly disgraceful — the Tories apparently favour a "show of hands" to decide issues at their own conference, in sharp contradistinction to the secret ballot that they wish to impose on trade unionists! Even worse were the bully-boy tactics adopted by those true-blue bouncers who attempted to silence that courageous individual who dared to speak out against the platform. But quite the worst manifestation of modern Toryism to come across the screen was the self-satisfied smirks of people like Whitelaw, Brittan, Gummer and Waddington, and the obvious glee, not to mention gloating, with which they greeted the result of the vote — a result which ensures that the British people are to continue suffering from the presence of incompatible and undesirable aliens in our midst. However, what intrigued me most of all was Mrs. Thatcher's conspicuous absence from the platform during the debate. Where was she? Could it be that she was writing a speech warning us that we are in danger of being "swamped" by immigrants for use at the next general election?

GRANDILOQUENT

The Home Office Minister "with Special Responsibility for Community Relations", Mr. David Waddington, seems to have allowed his grandiloquent title to go to his head. On a recent "fact-finding" tour of Bolton during which he visited a mosque, a Hindu temple and an "ethnic" food store, this self-important busybody discovered, much to his annoyance, that there is no Asian on the town council. He therefore called on Asians in the area to "push open the door" and get themselves selected as candidates by the

"established" (note that word!) political parties. During his almost-royal-progress round the town Mr. Waddington was "particularly impressed" by the efforts of the staff to teach English at a primary school where 90 per cent (yes, NINETY per cent!) of the children are Asian. Do politicians like Mr. Waddington have any sense at all or are they plain cuckoo? Instead of concerning himself with the difficulties that Asians are experiencing trying to learn English, Mr. Waddington would be much better employed doing something constructive about getting rid of the vast hordes of Asians now settled in our country.

A HORRIFIC CRIME

The new form of mugging whereby thugs threaten to injure babies in order to force their mothers to part with their housekeeping money is now becoming so common that such crimes are beginning to get very little coverage in the media. Last month I read of a case where three black thugs slashed the legs of a 20-

making a similar demand. Until a few years ago this horrific crime was unheard of in Britain; it is an alien importation which arrived with immigrants. Like mugging, by far the majority of these crimes are committed by Blacks; but inevitably some of our less intelligent and more brutish white youths are now taking up this fiendish practice when they see the Blacks getting away with it. If nothing else will convince the Establishment do-gooders that corporal punishment ought to be brought back, surely the wanton mutilation of defenceless little babies will!

OUT OF ORDER

It really is quite sickening that so many "top people" should go out of their way to be seen in public making much of coloured children while ignoring the children of their own kith and kin. Prince Charles and Princess Diana are among the worst offenders in this respect. But perhaps the worst example of this latest trend in do-goodery occurred when the recently ennobled Viscount Tonypantry of "order! order!" fame did his bit to bring about racial disorder by appearing in an advertisement on behalf of an organisation known as the National Children's Home. Beneath the caption "I wanted to discover the facts about Britain's children" were two photographs of his Lordship dandling picaninies. Below the photographs appeared the words: "Our children need all the help



PROMOTING RACE-MIXING
Princess Diana with picaninies at Brixton

month-old girl and threatened to do her even worse injury if her mother did not immediately hand over her purse. Only the next day it was reported that two white thugs stubbed a lighted cigarette into the face of a 15-month-old boy while

you can give!" When will these people understand that whatever laws may be enacted by foolish politicians, coloured children are not British, cannot be British, will never be British, and certainly are not "ours"?

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,—Several weeks ago the newspapers reported that as a result of new evidence criminal charges were about to be brought against a black man in connection with the New Cross house fire in which 13 black youths died three years ago. It was also announced that several people had given false evidence to the police during their inquiries and that criminal charges relating to "other matters" would also be brought against some of the people involved. The names of these people were given in a report submitted by the police to the Director of Public Prosecutions.

But so far no charges have been made. Why not? Do the authorities fear that any evidence that contradicts the ridiculous story put about by Blacks that the fire was started by "white racials" might be used as an excuse for more black rioting? Are we witnessing yet another cover-up carried out in the interests of "racial harmony"?

DENNIS RITTER,
London SE22.

Sir,—The attack by students on Michael Heseltine at Manchester was a disgraceful episode typical of those of the extreme left who will always attack those with whom they disagree.

Will the Home Secretary now ban all talks by non-Labour MPs at universities, on the grounds that such meetings might lead to violence?

It is his stock excuse for the banning of Nationalist marches and meetings, despite the evidence that the violence always comes from the raucous elements of the left, who will attempt to silence all those they regard as enemies.

If they had their way no one would be permitted to speak of "patriotism", "national pride", or even the defence of the realm without the danger of vociferous attack and violent opposition from the devotees of Russian Communism.

They are loudest to claim "democratic right" when they preach their own doctrine of "anti-Royalist" propaganda or "extra-Parliamentary action" to oust an elected government, and they are violently loudest to oppose all those of opposite view.

LEN BEARSFORD-WALKER,
Plymouth.

Big publicity in Bradford

BNP leads campaign for segregated schools

SCHOOLS IN BRADFORD, in which the pupils are to a large extent Asian and Black, have recently been the scenes of a great deal of inter-racial trouble, with a large race riot taking place at Eccleshill Upper School last month. At a recent meeting between local education chiefs and members of the 'Asian Youth Movement' those in attendance issued a statement which said: "Racism seems to exist permanently . . . and this is not true simply of Eccleshill but everywhere."

This racial trouble has led the British National Party branch in Bradford to mount a public campaign aiming at segregated schools. The BNP policy is eventual resettlement of Asian immigrants and their offspring in Asia and Blacks in Africa or the West Indies. However, pending that resettlement it is the BNP view that racial harmony in areas like Bradford can be much better maintained by means of entirely separate educational establishments for the different ethnic groups.

In the forefront of the cam-

paing is BNP Yorkshire Region Education spokesman Stanley Clayton-Garnett. Mr. Clayton-Garnett is able to speak with considerable authority on the problems of Bradford schools, since he was until his recent retirement

pressmen circularised about it tipped off the local 'left-wing' Rentamob, who promptly organised a demonstration outside the hotel with the obvious intention of violently attacking those in attendance, including

(4) The right of all groups to preserve ethnic and cultural integrity.

The *Telegraph & Argus* in its reporting of the interview and the event surrounding it was, predictably, vicious and in a number

Times Educational Supplement.

Big local interest has been created in Bradford in the BNP's campaign in defence of the native white people and the branch has received many membership enquiries following.



Left-wing demo against BNP

A CASE OF BAD JOURNALISM

(Contd. from page 2)

attended by Peterborough's Tory MP, Dr. Brian Mawhinney, who, we are informed, told a questioner at a local meeting held just before the 1979 General Election that "You mustn't let anyone tell you that Blacks can be British" and "It's a good gut feeling that the British people should feel and be against coloured people being here." Apparently Dr. Mawhinney has now changed his tack, for following the Tory Conference vote in favour of continued immigration, he is reported to have said: "That was the Tory party at its best, representing all the British people regardless of race or colour."

It seems that the real British people of Peterborough are being ill-served by their MP and their mayor.

BEELZEBUB'S BATTALIONS

(Contd. from page 3)

of Russian Christians could hardly be any worse than it already is. In any case, withdrawal of the Russian Churches from the WCC would be positively beneficial: for if it achieved nothing else, it would thwart the machinations of Soviet agents who attend WCC functions in clerical guise, thereby maintaining the fiction that religious freedom exists in the USSR.

The Roman Catholic Church, for all its faults, has so far had the good sense to steer clear of the WCC; while the Salvation Army, to its great credit, cancelled its membership the moment it saw through the WCC's hypocrisy and duplicity. Let us pray that the Church of England and other British Churches will soon follow suit by terminating their unholy alliance with Beelzebub.

BNP Recordings

RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 16th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Speeches by Charles Parker and Ray Hill; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: Recordings of speeches from the Nationalist Unity rally in London on September 5th 1981. Hear John Tyndall, Ray Hill, Kenneth McKilliam, Len Bearford Walker and others. Side 2: Talk by John Tyndall on 'The coming British revolution'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' (about the world-wide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for Nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'Tragedy of the 20th Century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

These 4 sets of recordings are presented in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (with 17p postage) from: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

YOUNG NATIONALIST

Read *Young Nationalist*, the paper for nationalist youth. Send 20p for sample copy to: 16 Vale Lodge, Perry Vale, London S.E.23.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of Nationalist policies read *Spearhead*, a 20 page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p Annual subscription £8.00. 63p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.20 + 33p post
25 copies £2.70 + 95p post
50 copies £4.80 + £1.67 post
100 copies £8.40 + £2.00 post
150 copies £12.00 + £2.20 post
200 copies £15.00 + £2.35 post
300 copies £21.00 + £2.50 post
400 copies £26.40 + £2.80 post
500 copies £32.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £62.00) by roadline

Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Headmaster of nearby Delf Hill Middle School. Recently the local paper, the *Telegraph & Argus*, got onto the BNP campaign and sought an interview with Mr. Clayton-Garnett.

The interview was fixed for a hotel in the city but one of the



Stanley Clayton-Garnett

Mr. Clayton-Garnett and the local BNP Organiser Gerry Robinson. Whilst it is normally the habit of the BNP never to be intimidated by red mobs, the party officials on this occasion decided not to oblige the media by giving them pictures of disorderly scenes and inconveniencing the hotel management; an alternative interview location was quickly arranged and the journalists transported there secretly.

POLICIES

The main points of policy outlined by Mr. Clayton-Garnett concerning the recent racial trouble in schools was:-

- (1) Humanitarian repatriation and resettlement of Afro-Asian immigrants and their offspring overseas.
- (2) Pending, this segregated schools for the different ethnic groups in Britain.
- (3) Termination of further Afro-Asian immigration.

of respects untruthful, inventing black leather-jacketed 'minders' among the BNP party who never existed, and claiming that Gerry Robinson (who stands 5ft 3in) physically threatened a 6ft 1in reporter, whereas nothing of the sort took place. The whole report of the affair was scathing of the BNP, as befits a paper with a far-left editor and a long record of vicious opposition to British Nationalism. Nevertheless, the issue won huge local publicity, dominating the front pages for 2 days running, and as a follow-up to the story Mr. Clayton-Garnett was given an interview by *The*

British Nationalist, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

B.N.P. READY TO FIGHT ST. HELENS - PLEASE GIVE NOW!

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY has decided to contest the St. Helens South constituency in the event of there being a by-election there in the near future.

The seat is expected to be made vacant by the resignation of the presently sitting Labour MP, who has been asked to step down by his constituency party as a result of scandal surrounding his private life.

The BNP is making an appeal now in order to raise a fighting fund of at least £500 to fight the seat, and will welcome donations. In the unlikely event of the MP not resigning and there being no by-election, any money raised will be set aside for future use in the next by-election to be fought by the party.

ROBIN MAY JOINS

We are pleased this month to welcome Robin May, formerly of the Nationalist Party (or NFCM), as a recruit to the British National Party.

Robin May was prior to the Nationalist split of 1979 a leading official of the National Front, at one time being the National Branch Liaison Officer (the NF equivalent of National Organiser). He stood as NF parliamentary candidate on a number of occasions in his home area of North Central London.

His role in the BNP will include the organisation of certain specialised functions, the first of these being a Nationalist social in London in late February. Our February issue will announce further details.

Robin May's proven abilities will be welcome by all in the BNP.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.45 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £2.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address